

# Connections between van der Corput's Difference Theorem and the Ergodic Hierarchy of Mixing Properties

Dynamical Systems Seminar  
at Jagiellonian University in Kraków

Based on <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.01123>

Sohail Farhangi

March 18, 2022



# The Classical van der Corput Difference Theorem

## Definition

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [0, 1]$  is uniformly distributed if for any open interval  $(a, b) \subseteq [0, 1]$  we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \{1 \leq n \leq N \mid x_n \in (a, b)\} \right| = b - a. \quad (1)$$

# The Classical van der Corput Difference Theorem

## Definition

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [0, 1]$  is uniformly distributed if for any open interval  $(a, b) \subseteq [0, 1]$  we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \{1 \leq n \leq N \mid x_n \in (a, b)\} \right| = b - a. \quad (1)$$

## Theorem (van der Corput)

*If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [0, 1]$  is such that  $(x_{n+h} - x_n \pmod{1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is itself uniformly distributed.*

# The Classical van der Corput Difference Theorem

## Definition

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [0, 1]$  is uniformly distributed if for any open interval  $(a, b) \subseteq [0, 1]$  we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \{1 \leq n \leq N \mid x_n \in (a, b)\} \right| = b - a. \quad (1)$$

## Theorem (van der Corput)

*If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq [0, 1]$  is such that  $(x_{n+h} - x_n \pmod{1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is itself uniformly distributed.*

## Corollary

*If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  is irrational, then  $(n^2\alpha \pmod{1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed.*

## Theorem (HvdCDT1)

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle = 0, \quad (2)$$

for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right\| = 0. \quad (3)$$

## Theorem (HvdCDT2)

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \quad (4)$$

for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right\| = 0. \quad (5)$$

## Theorem (HvdCDT3)

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H \left| \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \quad (6)$$

then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right\| = 0. \quad (7)$$

## Theorem (HvdCDT3)

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H \left| \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \quad (6)$$

then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right\| = 0. \quad (7)$$

## Question

Why would we ever use HvdCDT1 or HvdCDT2 when they are both corollaries of HvdCDT3?



# Applications of HvdCDTs 1/2

## Theorem (Poincaré)

*For any measure preserving system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , and any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which*

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0. \quad (8)$$

# Applications of HvdCDTs 1/2

## Theorem (Poincaré)

*For any measure preserving system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , and any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which*

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0. \quad (8)$$

Does not need vdCDT.

# Applications of HvdCDTs 1/2

## Theorem (Poincaré)

*For any measure preserving system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , and any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which*

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0. \quad (8)$$

Does not need vdCDT.

## Theorem (Furstenberg-Sárközy)

*For any measure preserving system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , and any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which*

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n^2}A) > 0. \quad (9)$$

# Applications of HvdCDTs 1/2

## Theorem (Poincaré)

*For any measure preserving system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , and any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which*

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0. \quad (8)$$

Does not need vdCDT.

## Theorem (Furstenberg-Sárközy)

*For any measure preserving system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , and any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which*

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n^2}A) > 0. \quad (9)$$

Furstenbergs proof uses HvdCDT1.

## Theorem (Furstenberg)

*For any measure preserving system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , and any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which*

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap T^{-2n}A \cap \dots \cap T^{-\ell n}A) > 0. \quad (10)$$

Furstenberg's proof uses an equivalent form of HvdCT3.

## Theorem (Furstenberg)

*For any measure preserving system  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ , and any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which*

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap T^{-2n}A \cap \dots \cap T^{-\ell n}A) > 0. \quad (10)$$

Furstenberg's proof uses an equivalent form of HvdCT3. Other proofs directly use HvdCT3.

# The Ergodic Hierarchy of Mixing 1/2

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving system. If for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) = \mu(A)\mu(B), \text{ then } \mathcal{X} \text{ is ergodic.}$$

# The Ergodic Hierarchy of Mixing 1/2

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving system. If for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

①  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **ergodic**.

②  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |\mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **weakly mixing**.



# The Ergodic Hierarchy of Mixing 1/2

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving system. If for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

- 1  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **ergodic**.
- 2  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |\mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **weakly mixing**.
- 3  $\mathbb{P}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **mildly mixing**

# The Ergodic Hierarchy of Mixing 1/2

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving system. If for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

①  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **ergodic**.

②  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |\mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **weakly mixing**.

③  $\mathbb{P}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **mildly mixing**

④  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is **strongly mixing**.

# The Ergodic Hierarchy of Mixing 2/2

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving system. If there exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\{T^{-n}A \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq 0\}$  generates  $\mathcal{B}$ , and for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $n \geq 1$  we have  $\mu(A \cap T^{-n}B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is Bernoulli.

# Symmetry and Mixing 1/2

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving system. If for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) = \mu(A)^2, \text{ then } \mathcal{X} \text{ is ergodic.}$$

# Symmetry and Mixing 1/2

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving system. If for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

①  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) = \mu(A)^2$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *ergodic*.

②  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) - \mu(A)^2| = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *weakly mixing*.

# Symmetry and Mixing 1/2

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving system. If for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

①  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) = \mu(A)^2$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *ergodic*.

②  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) - \mu(A)^2| = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *weakly mixing*.

③  $IP^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) = \mu(A)^2$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *mildly mixing*

# Symmetry and Mixing 1/2

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving system. If for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

- 1  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) = \mu(A)^2$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *ergodic*.
- 2  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) - \mu(A)^2| = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *weakly mixing*.
- 3  $IP^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) = \mu(A)^2$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *mildly mixing*.
- 4  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) = \mu(A)^2$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is *strongly mixing*.

# Symmetry and Mixing 2/2

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving system. If there exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\{T^{-n}A \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq 0\}$  generates  $\mathcal{B}$ , and for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $n \geq 1$  we have  $\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) = \mu(A)^2$ , then  $\mathcal{X}$  is Bernoulli.



# Hilbertian (Cesàro) vdCDTs Revisited

## Theorem

Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  be a bounded sequences. If

$$(i) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle = 0 \text{ for every } h \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ or}$$

$$(ii) \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \text{ or}$$

$$(iii) \lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \text{ then } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right\| = 0.$$

## Theorem (MvdCDT1)

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle = 0, \quad (11)$$

for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a nearly orthogonal sequence.

# Context of Nearly Mixing Sequences

One way to understand this result is to consider a new Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}'$ , whose elements are sequences  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of vectors coming from  $\mathcal{H}$ .

# Context of Nearly Mixing Sequences

One way to understand this result is to consider a new Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}'$ , whose elements are sequences  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of vectors coming from  $\mathcal{H}$ . **Intuitively**, we may let

$$\langle (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, (y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \quad (12)$$

be the inner product on  $\mathcal{H}'$ .

# Context of Nearly Mixing Sequences

One way to understand this result is to consider a new Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}'$ , whose elements are sequences  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of vectors coming from  $\mathcal{H}$ . **Intuitively**, we may let

$$\langle (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, (y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \quad (12)$$

be the inner product on  $\mathcal{H}'$ . The hypothesis that

$$0 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle = \langle U^h(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'}, \quad (13)$$

$$(cf. \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) = \mu(A)^2 \forall A \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq 1)$$

for every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  verifies that  $\{U^h(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\}_{h=0}^{\infty}$  is an orthonormal set in  $\mathcal{H}'$ , where  $U$  denotes the left shift operator.

# Strong Mixing vdCDT

## Theorem (MvdCDT2)

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \quad (14)$$

then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a **nearly strongly mixing sequence**.

# Strong Mixing vdCDT

## Theorem (MvdCDT2)

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \quad (14)$$

then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a **nearly strongly mixing sequence**.

Let  $\mathcal{H}'$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'}$ , and  $U$  be as before.

# Strong Mixing vdCDT

## Theorem (MvdCDT2)

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left| \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \quad (14)$$

then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a **nearly strongly mixing sequence**.

Let  $\mathcal{H}'$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'}$ , and  $U$  be as before. The given hypothesis implies

$$0 = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle U^h(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'}, \quad (15)$$

$$\text{(cf. } \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap T^{-h}A) = \mu(A)^2 \forall A \in \mathcal{B}\text{)}$$

verifies that  $\{U^h(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\}_{h=0}^{\infty}$  is a **strongly mixing sequence** in  $\mathcal{H}'$ .



# Weak Mixing vdCDT

## Theorem

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H \left| \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \quad (16)$$

then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a **nearly weakly mixing sequence**.

# Weak Mixing vdCDT

## Theorem

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H \left| \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \quad (16)$$

then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a **nearly weakly mixing sequence**.

Let  $\mathcal{H}'$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'}$ , and  $U$  be as before.

# Weak Mixing vdCDT

## Theorem

If  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  is a bounded sequence satisfying

$$\lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H \left| \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x_{n+h}, x_n \rangle \right| = 0, \quad (16)$$

then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a **nearly weakly mixing sequence**.

Let  $\mathcal{H}'$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'}$ , and  $U$  be as before. The given hypothesis implies

$$0 = \lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H \left| \langle U^h(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}'} \right|, \quad (17)$$

$$\text{(cf. } \lim_{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H |\mu(A \cap T^{-h}A) - \mu(A)|^2 = 0 \forall A \in \mathcal{B})$$

verifies that  $\{U^h(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\}_{h=0}^{\infty}$  is a **weakly mixing sequence** in  $\mathcal{H}'$ .

# Properties of Nearly Weakly Mixing Sequences

## Theorem

Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  be a *nearly weakly mixing sequence*,  $(r_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  a *compact sequence*, and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  a *compact sequence*.

# Properties of Nearly Weakly Mixing Sequences

## Theorem

Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  be a *nearly weakly mixing sequence*,  $(r_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  a *compact sequence*, and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  a *compact sequence*. We have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_n, r_n \rangle = 0 \quad (18)$$

# Properties of Nearly Weakly Mixing Sequences

## Theorem

Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  be a *nearly weakly mixing sequence*,  $(r_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  a *compact sequence*, and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  a *compact sequence*. We have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_n, r_n \rangle = 0 \quad (18)$$

and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N c_n x_n \right\| = 0. \quad (19)$$

# Properties of Nearly Strongly Mixing Sequences

## Theorem

Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  be a *nearly strongly mixing sequence*,  
 $(r_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  a *rigid sequence*, and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  a *rigid sequence*.

# Properties of Nearly Strongly Mixing Sequences

## Theorem

Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  be a *nearly strongly mixing sequence*,  
 $(r_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  a *rigid sequence*, and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  a *rigid sequence*.  
We have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_n, r_n \rangle = 0 \quad (20)$$



# Properties of Nearly Strongly Mixing Sequences

## Theorem

Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  be a *nearly strongly mixing sequence*,  
 $(r_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  a *rigid sequence*, and  $(c_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  a *rigid sequence*.  
We have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \langle x_n, r_n \rangle = 0 \quad (20)$$

and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N c_n x_n \right\| = 0. \quad (21)$$

# A Question of Frantzikinakis

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and let  $T, S : X \rightarrow X$  be measure preserving transformations. Suppose that the m.p.s.  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  has zero entropy and  $f, g \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ .

(i) Is it true that the averages

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n f \cdot S^{p(n)} g \quad (22)$$

converge in  $L^2(X, \mu)$  when  $p(n) = n$  or  $p(n) = n^2$ ?

(ii) Is it true that for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap S^{-p(n)}A) > 0 \quad (23)$$

when  $p(n) = n$  or  $p(n) = n^2$ ?

# Applying MvdCDTs 1/3

## Theorem

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and let  $T, S : X \rightarrow X$  be measure preserving transformations. Suppose that the m.p.s.  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  is rigid, and that the m.p.s.  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, S)$  is totally ergodic. Let  $(k_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a sequence for which  $((k_{n+h} - k_n)\alpha \pmod{1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

## Theorem

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space and let  $T, S : X \rightarrow X$  be measure preserving transformations. Suppose that the m.p.s.  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  is rigid, and that the m.p.s.  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, S)$  is totally ergodic. Let  $(k_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a sequence for which  $((k_{n+h} - k_n)\alpha \pmod{1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

- ① If  $f, g \in L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$  are such that  $\int_X g d\mu = 0$ , then  $(T^n f \cdot S^{k_n} g)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a nearly weakly mixing sequence in  $L^2(X, \mu)$ .

## Theorem

(ii) For any  $f, g \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$  we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N T^n f \cdot S^{k_n} g = \mathbb{E}[f | \mathcal{I}_T] \int_X g d\mu, \quad (24)$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_T = \{A \in \mathcal{B} \mid T^{-1}A = A\}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $T$ -invariant sets and with norm-convergence.

## Theorem

(iii) If  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathcal{B}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A_1 \cap T^{-n}A_2 \cap S^{-k_n}A_3) \\ &= \mu(A_3) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A_1 \cap T^{-n}A_2). \end{aligned}$$

## Theorem

(iii) If  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathcal{B}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A_1 \cap T^{-n}A_2 \cap S^{-k_n}A_3) \\ &= \mu(A_3) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(A_1 \cap T^{-n}A_2). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) If  $((k_{n+h} - k_n)\alpha)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is uniformly distributed in its orbit closure for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  then (i)-(iii) hold when  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, S)$  is ergodic.

# Applications to Uniform Distribution

See Section 4 in <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.01123> for applications to uniform distribution.