

A symmetry of maps implies its chaos,
i.e. gives ∞ many periodic points

Wacław Marzantowicz & Jerzy Jezierski
U A M & SGGW

January 7, 2011

- 1 Abstract
- 2 Problems
- 3 Smoothness
- 4 Results for the sphere maps
- 5 Equivariant maps
- 6 Nielsen Theory
- 7 Per. pts of self-map of the orbit space
- 8 Main theorems
- 9 Bibliography

$f : X \rightarrow X$, here X is a closed manifold of dim d .

Notation:

$P^n(f) := \text{Fix}(f^n)$ the set of points of period n ,

$$P_n(f) := P^n(f) \setminus \bigcup_{k|n, k < n} P^k(f)$$

the set of points for which n is the **minimal period**, called shortly **n -periodic** points.

$$P(f) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n(f) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(f)$$

the set of **all periodic** points.

$$\text{Per}(f) := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : P_n(f) \neq \emptyset\}$$

the set of **minimal periods** of f .

$f : X \rightarrow X$, here X is a closed manifold of dim d .

Notation:

$P^n(f) := \text{Fix}(f^n)$ the set of points of period n ,

$$P_n(f) := P^n(f) \setminus \bigcup_{k|n, k < n} P^k(f)$$

the set of points for which n is the **minimal period**, called shortly **n -periodic** points.

$$P(f) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n(f) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(f)$$

the set of **all periodic points**.

$$\text{Per}(f) := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : P_n(f) \neq \emptyset\}$$

the set of **minimal periods** of f .

Problems of dynamical systems:

- 1⁰. **Describe $\text{Per}(f)$, in particular when $\#\text{Per}(f) = \infty$, or $\text{Per}(f) = \mathbb{N}$.**
- 2⁰ Give an estimate from below of $\#P^n(f)$, $\#P_n(f)$,
- 3⁰ Give an estimate from above of $\#P^n(f)$, $\#P_n(f)$,
- 4⁰ Give an estimate from below of $\limsup \#P^n(f)$,
- 5⁰ Give an estimate from above of $\limsup \#P^n(f)$.

Problems of dynamical systems:

- 1⁰. Describe $\text{Per}(f)$, in particular when $\#\text{Per}(f) = \infty$, or $\text{Per}(f) = \mathbb{N}$.
- 2⁰ Give an estimate from below of $\#P^n(f)$, $\#P_n(f)$,
- 3⁰ Give an estimate from above of $\#P^n(f)$, $\#P_n(f)$,
- 4⁰ Give an estimate from below of $\limsup \#P^n(f)$,
- 5⁰ Give an estimate from above of $\limsup \#P^n(f)$.

Problems of dynamical systems:

- 1⁰. Describe $\text{Per}(f)$, in particular when $\#\text{Per}(f) = \infty$, or $\text{Per}(f) = \mathbb{N}$.
- 2⁰ Give an estimate from below of $\#P^n(f)$, $\#P_n(f)$,
- 3⁰ Give an estimate from above of $\#P^n(f)$, $\#P_n(f)$,
- 4⁰ Give an estimate from below of $\limsup \#P^n(f)$,
- 5⁰ Give an estimate from above of $\limsup \#P^n(f)$.

Problems of dynamical systems:

- 1⁰. Describe $\text{Per}(f)$, in particular when $\#\text{Per}(f) = \infty$, or $\text{Per}(f) = \mathbb{N}$.
- 2⁰ Give an estimate from below of $\#P^n(f)$, $\#P_n(f)$,
- 3⁰ Give an estimate from above of $\#P^n(f)$, $\#P_n(f)$,
- 4⁰ Give an estimate from below of $\limsup \#P^n(f)$,
- 5⁰ Give an estimate from above of $\limsup \#P^n(f)$.

Problems of dynamical systems:

- 1⁰. Describe $\text{Per}(f)$, in particular when $\#\text{Per}(f) = \infty$, or $\text{Per}(f) = \mathbb{N}$.
- 2⁰ Give an estimate from below of $\#P^n(f)$, $\#P_n(f)$,
- 3⁰ Give an estimate from above of $\#P^n(f)$, $\#P_n(f)$,
- 4⁰ Give an estimate from below of $\limsup \#P^n(f)$,
- 5⁰ Give an estimate from above of $\limsup \#P^n(f)$.

Theorem (Shub & Sullivan 1974)

$f : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\{L(f^n)\}_1^\infty$ is unbounded
 If $f \in C^1$ then $\#P(f) = \infty$

Lefschetz-Hopf formula

$$L(f^n) = \text{Ind}(f^n, X) = \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f^n)} \text{Ind}(f^n, x)$$

Main step: $f \in C^1, f(0) = 0 \implies \{\text{Ind}(f^n, 0)\}$ is bounded provided it is defined.

Chow & Mallet-Paret & Yorke (81): the sequence is **periodic** of a period k defined by $\sigma(Df(0))$.

Theorem (Shub & Sullivan 1974)

$f : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\{L(f^n)\}_1^\infty$ is unbounded
 If $f \in C^1$ then $\#P(f) = \infty$

Lefschetz-Hopf formula

$$L(f^n) = \text{Ind}(f^n, X) = \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f^n)} \text{Ind}(f^n, x)$$

Main step: $f \in C^1, f(0) = 0 \implies \{\text{Ind}(f^n, 0)\}$ is bounded provided it is defined.

Chow & Mallet-Paret & Yorke (81): the sequence is **periodic** of a period k defined by $\sigma(Df(0))$.

Theorem (Shub & Sullivan 1974)

$f : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\{L(f^n)\}_1^\infty$ is unbounded
 If $f \in C^1$ then $\#P(f) = \infty$

Lefschetz-Hopf formula

$$L(f^n) = \text{Ind}(f^n, X) = \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f^n)} \text{Ind}(f^n, x)$$

Main step: $f \in C^1, f(0) = 0 \implies \{\text{Ind}(f^n, 0)\}$ is bounded provided it is defined.

Chow & Mallet-Paret & Yorke (81): the sequence is **periodic** of a period k defined by $\sigma(Df(0))$.

Theorem (Shub & Sullivan 1974)

$f : X \rightarrow X$ such that $\{L(f^n)\}_1^\infty$ is unbounded
 If $f \in C^1$ then $\#P(f) = \infty$

Lefschetz-Hopf formula

$$L(f^n) = \text{Ind}(f^n, X) = \sum_{x \in \text{Fix}(f^n)} \text{Ind}(f^n, x)$$

Main step: $f \in C^1, f(0) = 0 \implies \{\text{Ind}(f^n, 0)\}$ is bounded provided it is defined.

Chow & Mallet-Paret & Yorke (81): the sequence is **periodic** of a period k defined by $\sigma(Df(0))$.

Conjecture (Shub 1974)

$$\limsup \sqrt[n]{\#P^n(f)} \geq \limsup \sqrt[n]{|L(f^n)|} = \rho_{es} > 1 \text{ if } \{L(f^n)\} \text{ is unb.}$$

The rate of growth is at least exponential.

Theorem (Babenko & Bogatyi 1991)

$f : X \rightarrow X$, $d = \dim X$, and $f \in C^1$. Assume: $\{L(f^n)\}_1^\infty$ is unb.
Then $\exists n_0 = n_0(f)$ such that $\forall n \geq n_0$

$$\#Or(f, n) \geq \frac{n - n_0}{D 2^{[d+1/2]}},$$

where $D := \dim H^*(X; \mathbb{R})$

In particular, since $\#P^n(f) \geq \#Or(f, n)$ we have at least linear rate of growth of $\#P^n(f)$ which does not follow from the Shub conjecture.

Conjecture (Shub 1974)

$$\limsup \sqrt[n]{\#P^n(f)} \geq \limsup \sqrt[n]{|L(f^n)|} = \rho_{es} > 1 \text{ if } \{L(f^n)\} \text{ is unb.}$$

The rate of growth is at least exponential.

Theorem (Babenko & Bogatyi 1991)

$f : X \rightarrow X$, $d = \dim X$, and $f \in C^1$. Assume: $\{L(f^n)\}_1^\infty$ is unb.
Then $\exists n_0 = n_0(f)$ such that $\forall n \geq n_0$

$$\#\text{Or}(f, n) \geq \frac{n - n_0}{D 2^{[d+1/2]}},$$

where $D := \dim H^*(X; \mathbb{R})$

In particular, since $\#P^n(f) \geq \#\text{Or}(f, n)$ we have at least **linear rate of growth** of $\#P^n(f)$
which **does not follow from the Shub conjecture.**

Theorem ([JJWM1])

Let $g : S^d \rightarrow S^d$, $d \geq 1$, be a free homeomorphism of finite order $m > 1$, and $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ be a map that commutes with g .

Suppose that $\deg(f) \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Then for $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^{km}) \geq m^2 k'$$

k' is as in Definition [19]. In particular, for $k = m^s$ we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^{m^{s+1}}) \geq m^{s+2}.$$

For S^d , $d \geq 1$, $\{L(f^k)\}_1^\infty$ is unbounded iff $\deg(f) \neq 0, \pm 1$.

Corollary ([JJWM1])

Let $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ be a continuous map with $\{L(f^n)\}_1^\infty$ unbounded. If f commutes with a free homeomorphism $g : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ of order $m > 1$, then the set $\text{Per}(f)$ of minimal periods of f and consequently the set $P(f)$ of periodic points are infinite.

Theorem ([JJWM1])

Let $g : S^d \rightarrow S^d$, $d \geq 1$, be a free homeomorphism of finite order $m > 1$, and $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ be a map that commutes with g .

Suppose that $\deg(f) \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Then for $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^{km}) \geq m^2 k'$$

k' is as in Definition [19]. In particular, for $k = m^s$ we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^{m^{s+1}}) \geq m^{s+2}.$$

For S^d , $d \geq 1$, $\{L(f^k)\}_1^\infty$ is unbounded iff $\deg(f) \neq 0, \pm 1$.

Corollary ([JJWM1])

Let $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ be a continuous map with $\{L(f^n)\}_1^\infty$ unbounded. If f commutes with a free homeomorphism $g : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ of order $m > 1$, then the set $\text{Per}(f)$ of minimal periods of f and consequently the set $P(f)$ of periodic points are infinite.

Theorem ([JJWM1])

Let $g : S^d \rightarrow S^d$, $d \geq 1$, be a free homeomorphism of finite order $m > 1$, and $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ be a map that commutes with g .

Suppose that $\deg(f) \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Then for $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^{km}) \geq m^2 k'$$

k' is as in Definition [19]. In particular, for $k = m^s$ we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^{m^{s+1}}) \geq m^{s+2}.$$

For S^d , $d \geq 1$, $\{L(f^k)\}_1^\infty$ is unbounded iff $\deg(f) \neq 0, \pm 1$.

Corollary ([JJWM1])

Let $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ be a continuous map with $\{L(f^n)\}_1^\infty$ unbounded. If f commutes with a free homeomorphism $g : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ of order $m > 1$, then the set $\text{Per}(f)$ of minimal periods of f and consequently the set $P(f)$ of periodic points are infinite.

Theorem ([JJWM1])

Let $g : S^d \rightarrow S^d$, $d \geq 1$, be a free homeomorphism of finite order $m > 1$, and $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ be a map that commutes with g .

Suppose that $\deg(f) \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Then for $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^{km}) \geq m^2 k'$$

k' is as in Definition [19]. In particular, for $k = m^s$ we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^{m^{s+1}}) \geq m^{s+2}.$$

For S^d , $d \geq 1$, $\{L(f^k)\}_1^\infty$ is unbounded iff $\deg(f) \neq 0, \pm 1$.

Corollary ([JJWM1])

Let $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ be a continuous map with $\{L(f^n)\}_1^\infty$ unbounded. If f commutes with a free homeomorphism $g : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ of order $m > 1$, then the set $\text{Per}(f)$ of minimal periods of f and consequently the set $P(f)$ of periodic points are infinite.

Theorem ([JJWM1])

Let $g : S^d \rightarrow S^d$, $d \geq 1$, be a free homeomorphism of finite order $m > 1$, and $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ be a map that commutes with g .

Suppose that $\deg(f) \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Then for $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^{km}) \geq m^2 k'$$

k' is as in Definition [19]. In particular, for $k = m^s$ we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^{m^{s+1}}) \geq m^{s+2}.$$

For S^d , $d \geq 1$, $\{L(f^k)\}_1^\infty$ is unbounded iff $\deg(f) \neq 0, \pm 1$.

Corollary ([JJWM1])

Let $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ be a continuous map with $\{L(f^n)\}_1^\infty$ unbounded. If f commutes with a free homeomorphism $g : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ of order $m > 1$, then the set $\text{Per}(f)$ of minimal periods of f and consequently the set $P(f)$ of periodic points are infinite.

Eventually generalize for G -equivariant maps? for G not cyclic, or infinite compact

1. Few class of groups: There exists a classification of finite groups which can act free (act smoothly) on the spheres!!, e.g. they do not contain $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$.
2. The only infinite groups acting free on S^d :
 $G = S^1$, $N(S^1) \subset S^3$, S^3 .
3. If $f : M \rightarrow M$ is G -equivariant, M any compact manifold, G infinite compact, then $L(f) = 0$, consequently $L(f^k) = 0$ for $\forall k$.
4. For the problem of existence of infinitely many periodic points, one can always restrict the action to a cyclic subgroup of G .

Remark

Note that in the the simplest case $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, or $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$ the orbit space S^d/G is the real projective space, or a lens space.

Eventually generalize for G -equivariant maps? for G not cyclic, or infinite compact

1. Few class of groups: There exists a classification of finite groups which can act free (act smoothly) on the spheres!!, e.g. they do not contain $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$.
2. The only infinite groups acting free on S^d :
 $G = S^1, N(S^1) \subset S^3, S^3$.
3. If $f : M \rightarrow M$ is G -equivariant, M any compact manifold, G infinite compact, then $L(f) = 0$, consequently $L(f^k) = 0$ for $\forall k$.
4. For the problem of existence of infinitely many periodic points, one can always restrict the action to a cyclic subgroup of G .

Remark

Note that in the the simplest case $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, or $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$ the orbit space S^d/G is the real projective space, or a lens space.

Eventually generalize for G -equivariant maps? for G not cyclic, or infinite compact

1. Few class of groups: There exists a classification of finite groups which can act free (act smoothly) on the spheres!!, e.g. they do not contain $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$.
2. The only infinite groups acting free on S^d :
 $G = S^1$, $N(S^1) \subset S^3$, S^3 .
3. If $f : M \rightarrow M$ is G -equivariant, M any compact manifold, G infinite compact, then $L(f) = 0$, consequently $L(f^k) = 0$ for $\forall k$.
4. For the problem of existence of infinitely many periodic points, one can always restrict the action to a cyclic subgroup of G .

Remark

Note that in the the simplest case $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, or $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$ the orbit space S^d/G is the real projective space, or a lens space.

Eventually generalize for G -equivariant maps? for G not cyclic, or infinite compact

1. Few class of groups: There exists a classification of finite groups which can act free (act smoothly) on the spheres!!, e.g. they do not contain $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$.
2. The only infinite groups acting free on S^d :
 $G = S^1$, $N(S^1) \subset S^3$, S^3 .
3. If $f : M \rightarrow M$ is G -equivariant, M any compact manifold, G infinite compact, then $L(f) = 0$, consequently $L(f^k) = 0$ for $\forall k$.
4. For the problem of existence of infinitely many periodic points, one can always restrict the action to a cyclic subgroup of G .

Remark

Note that in the the simplest case $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, or $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$ the orbit space S^d/G is the real projective space, or a lens space.

Eventually generalize for G -equivariant maps? for G not cyclic, or infinite compact

1. Few class of groups: There exists a classification of finite groups which can act free (act smoothly) on the spheres!!, e.g. they do not contain $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$.
2. The only infinite groups acting free on S^d :
 $G = S^1$, $N(S^1) \subset S^3$, S^3 .
3. If $f : M \rightarrow M$ is G -equivariant, M any compact manifold, G infinite compact, then $L(f) = 0$, consequently $L(f^k) = 0$ for $\forall k$.
4. For the problem of existence of infinitely many periodic points, one can always restrict the action to a cyclic subgroup of G .

Remark

Note that in the the simplest case $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, or $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$ the orbit space S^d/G is the real projective space, or a lens space.

The idea of proof

- The general idea of the proofs of the stated Theorems is to study a map $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow M$ of the quotient space $M := S^d / \mathbb{Z}_m$ induced by the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -equivariant map $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ in the problem.
- Next we estimate the number of periodic points of $\bar{f}$, and we "lift" them to periodic points of f .
- To study periodic points of the induced map $\bar{f}$ we use the Nielsen theory adapted to this situation.
- It is worth pointing out that a direct application of the Nielsen number of iterations is inefficient since

$$N(\bar{f}^n) \leq \#\pi_1(M) = \text{is finite for many } M$$

The idea of proof

- The general idea of the proofs of the stated Theorems is to study a map $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow M$ of the quotient space $M := S^d / \mathbb{Z}_m$ induced by the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -equivariant map $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ in the problem.
- Next we estimate the number of periodic points of $\bar{f}$, and we "lift" them to periodic points of f .
- To study periodic points of the induced map $\bar{f}$ we use the Nielsen theory adapted to this situation.
- It is worth pointing out that a direct application of the Nielsen number of iterations is inefficient since

$$N(\bar{f}^n) \leq \#\pi_1(M) = \text{is finite for many } M$$

The idea of proof

- The general idea of the proofs of the stated Theorems is to study a map $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow M$ of the quotient space $M := S^d / \mathbb{Z}_m$ induced by the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -equivariant map $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ in the problem.
- Next we estimate the number of periodic points of $\bar{f}$, and we "lift" them to periodic points of f .
- To study periodic points of the induced map $\bar{f}$ we use the Nielsen theory adapted to this situation.
- It is worth pointing out that a direct application of the Nielsen number of iterations is inefficient since

$$N(\bar{f}^n) \leq \#\pi_1(M) = \text{is finite for many } M$$

The idea of proof

- The general idea of the proofs of the stated Theorems is to study a map $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow M$ of the quotient space $M := S^d / \mathbb{Z}_m$ induced by the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -equivariant map $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ in the problem.
- Next we estimate the number of periodic points of $\bar{f}$, and we "lift" them to periodic points of f .
- To study periodic points of the induced map $\bar{f}$ we use the Nielsen theory adapted to this situation.
- It is worth pointing out that a direct application of the Nielsen number of iterations is inefficient since

$$N(\bar{f}^n) \leq \#\pi_1(M) = \text{is finite for many } M$$

Below we include some facts about equivariant maps

Proposition (Borsuk-Ulam)

Suppose that $\mathbb{Z}_m$ acts freely on S^d , $d \geq 1$. If $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ is an equivariant map, then $\deg(f) \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. $\square$

For $m = 2$, this is the classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem which states that **an odd map has odd degree**.

$f, h : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ are homotopic $\iff \deg f = \deg h$.

Theorem (C. Borszyc, R. Rubinsztein, [Rub].)

Suppose that a finite group G acts freely on S^d , $d > 1$.

*Then the natural map $[S^d, S^d]_G \rightarrow [S^d, S^d]$ is an injection, i.e. if two equivariant mappings have the same degree, then they are **equivariantly homotopic**.*

Moreover the image of $[S^d, S^d]_G$ in $[S^d, S^d] = \mathbb{Z}$ is equal to $\{m\mathbb{Z} + 1\}$.

Below we include some facts about equivariant maps

Proposition (Borsuk-Ulam)

Suppose that $\mathbb{Z}_m$ acts freely on S^d , $d \geq 1$. If $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ is an equivariant map, then $\deg(f) \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. $\square$

For $m = 2$, this is the classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem which states that **an odd map has odd degree**.

$f, h : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ are homotopic $\iff \deg f = \deg h$.

Theorem (C. Borszyc, R. Rubinsztein, [Rub].)

Suppose that a finite group G acts freely on S^d , $d > 1$.

*Then the natural map $[S^d, S^d]_G \rightarrow [S^d, S^d]$ is an injection, i.e. if two equivariant mappings have the same degree, then they are **equivariantly homotopic**.*

Moreover the image of $[S^d, S^d]_G$ in $[S^d, S^d] = \mathbb{Z}$ is equal to $\{m\mathbb{Z} + 1\}$.

Below we include some facts about equivariant maps

Proposition (Borsuk-Ulam)

Suppose that $\mathbb{Z}_m$ acts freely on S^d , $d \geq 1$. If $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ is an equivariant map, then $\deg(f) \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. $\square$

For $m = 2$, this is the classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem which states that **an odd map has odd degree**.

$f, h : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ are homotopic $\iff \deg f = \deg h$.

Theorem (C. Borszyc, R. Rubinsztein, [Rub].)

Suppose that a finite group G acts freely on S^d , $d > 1$.

*Then the natural map $[S^d, S^d]_G \rightarrow [S^d, S^d]$ is an injection, i.e. if two equivariant mappings have the same degree, then they are **equivariantly homotopic**.*

Moreover the image of $[S^d, S^d]_G$ in $[S^d, S^d] = \mathbb{Z}$ is equal to $\{m\mathbb{Z} + 1\}$.

Below we include some facts about equivariant maps

Proposition (Borsuk-Ulam)

Suppose that $\mathbb{Z}_m$ acts freely on S^d , $d \geq 1$. If $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ is an equivariant map, then $\deg(f) \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. $\square$

For $m = 2$, this is the classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem which states that **an odd map has odd degree**.

$f, h : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ are homotopic $\iff \deg f = \deg h$.

Theorem (C. Borszyc, R. Rubinsztein, [Rub].)

Suppose that a finite group G acts freely on S^d , $d > 1$.

*Then the natural map $[S^d, S^d]_G \rightarrow [S^d, S^d]$ is an injection, i.e. if two equivariant mappings have the same degree, then they are **equivariantly homotopic**.*

Moreover the image of $[S^d, S^d]_G$ in $[S^d, S^d] = \mathbb{Z}$ is equal to $\{m\mathbb{Z} + 1\}$.

Below we include some facts about equivariant maps

Proposition (Borsuk-Ulam)

Suppose that $\mathbb{Z}_m$ acts freely on S^d , $d \geq 1$. If $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ is an equivariant map, then $\deg(f) \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. $\square$

For $m = 2$, this is the classical Borsuk-Ulam theorem which states that **an odd map has odd degree**.

$f, h : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ are homotopic $\iff \deg f = \deg h$.

Theorem (C. Borszyc, R. Rubinsztein, [Rub].)

Suppose that a finite group G acts freely on S^d , $d > 1$.

*Then the natural map $[S^d, S^d]_G \rightarrow [S^d, S^d]$ is an injection, i.e. if two equivariant mappings have the same degree, then they are **equivariantly homotopic**.*

Moreover the image of $[S^d, S^d]_G$ in $[S^d, S^d] = \mathbb{Z}$ is equal to $\{m\mathbb{Z} + 1\}$.

Comments

Note that taking the suspension of the map $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, $f(z) = z^r$, $|r| \geq 2$ (with ∞ many periodic points) we get a map Σf of S^2 with the same dynamics as z^r .

On the other hand, the Shub example gives a map of S^2 which is a small perturbation of Σf but has only two non-wandering points.

(Note that the Shub example is not $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant)

The stated Theorems say that any small equivariant perturbation, or more generally **any equivariant continuous deformation** of f must possess infinitely many periodic points.

Comments

Note that taking the suspension of the map $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, $f(z) = z^r$, $|r| \geq 2$ (with ∞ many periodic points) we get a map Σf of S^2 with the same dynamics as z^r .

On the other hand, the Shub example gives a map of S^2 which is a small perturbation of Σf but has only two non-wandering points.

(Note that the Shub example is not $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant)

The stated Theorems say that any small equivariant perturbation, or more generally **any equivariant continuous deformation** of f must possess infinitely many periodic points.

Comments

Note that taking the suspension of the map $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, $f(z) = z^r$, $|r| \geq 2$ (with ∞ many periodic points) we get a map Σf of S^2 with the same dynamics as z^r .

On the other hand, the Shub example gives a map of S^2 which is a small perturbation of Σf but has only two non-wandering points.

(Note that the Shub example is not $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant)

The stated Theorems say that any small equivariant perturbation, or more generally **any equivariant continuous deformation** of f must possess infinitely many periodic points.

Comments

Note that taking the suspension of the map $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, $f(z) = z^r$, $|r| \geq 2$ (with ∞ many periodic points) we get a map Σf of S^2 with the same dynamics as z^r .

On the other hand, the Shub example gives a map of S^2 which is a small perturbation of Σf but has only two non-wandering points.

(Note that the Shub example is not $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant)

The stated Theorems say that any small equivariant perturbation, or more generally **any equivariant continuous deformation** of f must possess infinitely many periodic points.

In this section we denote by $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ a universal covering of X . For a G -space X with a free action of a finite group G and a map $X \rightarrow \bar{X} = X/G$ onto the orbit space we will denote the covering $p : X \rightarrow \bar{X}$, opposite to the notation used here..

Let $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a universal covering of a polyhedron.

$$\mathcal{O}_X := \{\alpha : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} : p\alpha = p\}$$

is the group of *deck transformations* of this covering.

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map and let $\text{lift}(f) = \{\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} : p\tilde{f} = fp\}$ denote *the set of all lifts* of f .

If we fix a lift $\tilde{f}_0$, then each other lift of f can be uniquely written as $\alpha\tilde{f}_0$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_X$.

In this section we denote by $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ a universal covering of X . For a G -space X with a free action of a finite group G and a map $X \rightarrow \bar{X} = X/G$ onto the orbit space we will denote the covering $p : X \rightarrow \bar{X}$, opposite to the notation used here..

Let $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a universal covering of a polyhedron.

$$\mathcal{O}_X := \{\alpha : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} : p\alpha = p\}$$

is the group of *deck transformations* of this covering.

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map and let $\text{lift}(f) = \{\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} : p\tilde{f} = fp\}$ denote *the set of all lifts* of f .

If we fix a lift $\tilde{f}_0$, then each other lift of f can be uniquely written as $\alpha\tilde{f}_0$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_X$.

In this section we denote by $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ a universal covering of X . For a G -space X with a free action of a finite group G and a map $X \rightarrow \bar{X} = X/G$ onto the orbit space we will denote the covering $p : X \rightarrow \bar{X}$, opposite to the notation used here..

Let $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a universal covering of a polyhedron.

$$\mathcal{O}_X := \{\alpha : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} : p\alpha = p\}$$

is the group of *deck transformations* of this covering.

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map and let $\text{lift}(f) = \{\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} : p\tilde{f} = fp\}$ denote *the set of all lifts* of f .

If we fix a lift $\tilde{f}_0$, then each other lift of f can be uniquely written as $\alpha\tilde{f}_0$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_X$.

In this section we denote by $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ a universal covering of X . For a G -space X with a free action of a finite group G and a map $X \rightarrow \bar{X} = X/G$ onto the orbit space we will denote the covering $p : X \rightarrow \bar{X}$, opposite to the notation used here..

Let $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a universal covering of a polyhedron.

$$\mathcal{O}_X := \{\alpha : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} : p\alpha = p\}$$

is the group of *deck transformations* of this covering.

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map and let $\text{lift}(f) = \{\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} : p\tilde{f} = fp\}$ denote *the set of all lifts* of f .

If we fix a lift $\tilde{f}_0$, then each other lift of f can be uniquely written as $\alpha\tilde{f}_0$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_X$.

In this section we denote by $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ a universal covering of X . For a G -space X with a free action of a finite group G and a map $X \rightarrow \bar{X} = X/G$ onto the orbit space we will denote the covering $p : X \rightarrow \bar{X}$, opposite to the notation used here..

Let $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a universal covering of a polyhedron.

$$\mathcal{O}_X := \{\alpha : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} : p\alpha = p\}$$

is the group of *deck transformations* of this covering.

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a map and let $\text{lift}(f) = \{\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} : p\tilde{f} = fp\}$ denote *the set of all lifts* of f .

If we fix a lift $\tilde{f}_0$, then each other lift of f can be uniquely written as $\alpha\tilde{f}_0$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_X$.

In [Jia1] Boju Jiang introduced a number $NF_k(f)$ which is a homotopy invariant and is the lower bound for $\#\text{Fix}(f^k)$.

Theorem

For any self-map $f : X \rightarrow X$ of a finite polyhedron and a fixed natural number $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^k) \geq \sum_{r|k} (\#\mathcal{IEOR}(f^r)) \cdot r$$

where $\mathcal{IEOR}(f^r)$ denotes the set of irreducible ($\mathcal{I}$) essential ($\mathcal{E}$) orbits ($\mathcal{O}$) of Reidemeister ($\mathcal{R}$) classes of the map f^r .

In [Jia1] Boju Jiang introduced a number $NF_k(f)$ which is a homotopy invariant and is the lower bound for $\# \text{Fix}(f^k)$.

Theorem

For any self-map $f : X \rightarrow X$ of a finite polyhedron and a fixed natural number $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\# \text{Fix}(f^k) \geq \sum_{r|k} (\# \mathcal{IEOR}(f^r)) \cdot r$$

where $\mathcal{IEOR}(f^r)$ denotes the set of irreducible ($\mathcal{I}$) essential ($\mathcal{E}$) orbits ($\mathcal{O}$) of Reidemeister ($\mathcal{R}$) classes of the map f^r .

Lemma

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{Y} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

where $p : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is a finite regular covering of a fin. polyh. Y .
Then

$$\text{ind}(\tilde{f}) = r \cdot \text{ind}(f; p(\text{Fix}(\tilde{f})))$$

where $r = \#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_Y; \tilde{f}\alpha = \alpha\tilde{f}\}$. In particular
 $\text{ind}(f; p(\text{Fix}(\tilde{f}))) \neq 0$ if and only if $L(\tilde{f}) = \text{ind}(\tilde{f}) \neq 0$.

$\mathcal{O}_Y$ denotes the group of covering transformations of the regular covering p ; exceptionally in this Lemma we do not need to assume that the covering p is universal.

THIS LEMMA HOLDS "ALWAYS" (i.e. for every $\tilde{Y}$ as above).

Lemma

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{Y} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

where $p : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is a finite regular covering of a fin. polyh. Y .
Then

$$\text{ind}(\tilde{f}) = r \cdot \text{ind}(f; p(\text{Fix}(\tilde{f})))$$

where $r = \#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_Y; \tilde{f}\alpha = \alpha\tilde{f}\}$. In particular
 $\text{ind}(f; p(\text{Fix}(\tilde{f}))) \neq 0$ if and only if $L(\tilde{f}) = \text{ind}(\tilde{f}) \neq 0$.

$\mathcal{O}_Y$ denotes the group of covering transformations of the regular covering p ; exceptionally in this Lemma we do not need to assume that the covering p is universal.

THIS LEMMA HOLDS "ALWAYS" (i.e. for every $\tilde{Y}$ as above). 

Lemma

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{Y} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

where $p : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is a finite regular covering of a fin. polyh. Y .
Then

$$\text{ind}(\tilde{f}) = r \cdot \text{ind}(f; p(\text{Fix}(\tilde{f})))$$

where $r = \#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_Y; \tilde{f}\alpha = \alpha\tilde{f}\}$. In particular
 $\text{ind}(f; p(\text{Fix}(\tilde{f}))) \neq 0$ if and only if $L(\tilde{f}) = \text{ind}(\tilde{f}) \neq 0$.

$\mathcal{O}_Y$ denotes the group of covering transformations of the regular covering p ; exceptionally in this Lemma we do not need to assume that the covering p is universal.

THIS LEMMA HOLDS "ALWAYS" (i.e. for every $\tilde{Y}$ as above).

Corollary

Let $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow M$ be the map induced by an equivariant map $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ of degree $\neq 0, \pm 1$. Then all the Reidemeister classes of f and of all its iterations are essential.

IT is A KEY POINT which uses the fact that $M = S^d/G$. In general we need an information that

$$L(f) \neq 0 \implies \forall g \in G, g \neq e, \text{ we have } L(gf) \neq 0$$

or in a weaker form:

for ∞ - many k (of a form which is related to $m = |G|$)

$$L(f^k) \neq 0 \implies \forall g \in G, g \neq e, \text{ we have } L(gf^k) \neq 0.$$

Corollary

Let $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow M$ be the map induced by an equivariant map $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$ of degree $\neq 0, \pm 1$. Then all the Reidemeister classes of f and of all its iterations are essential.

IT is A KEY POINT which uses the fact that $M = S^d/G$. In general we need an information that

$$L(f) \neq 0 \implies \forall g \in G, g \neq e, \text{ we have } L(gf) \neq 0$$

or in a weaker form:

for ∞ - many k (of a form which is related to $m = |G|$)

$$L(f^k) \neq 0 \implies \forall g \in G, g \neq e, \text{ we have } L(gf^k) \neq 0.$$

Lemma

If a self-map of the orbit space $\bar{X} = X/G$, of a free action of a finite group G , is induced by an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow X$ then the map $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{f}} : \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k)$ is the identity. Thus each orbit of Reidemeister classes consists of exactly one element.

Lemma

The Reidemeister relation of the map $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ induced by an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow X$ is trivial. Thus $\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}) = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} = \mathbb{Z}_m$.

THESE LEMMAS also "HOLD ALWAYS".

Corollary

If $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow M$ ($M = S^d/\mathbb{Z}_m$) is a map induced by an equivariant map $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$, then we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(\bar{f}^k) \geq NF_k^G(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{r|k} (\#\mathcal{IR}(\bar{f}^r)) \cdot r$$

Lemma

If a self-map of the orbit space $\bar{X} = X/G$, of a free action of a finite group G , is induced by an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow X$ then the map $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{f}} : \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k)$ is the identity. Thus each orbit of Reidemeister classes consists of exactly one element.

Lemma

The Reidemeister relation of the map $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ induced by an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow X$ is trivial. Thus $\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}) = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} = \mathbb{Z}_m$.

THESE LEMMAS also "HOLD ALWAYS".

Corollary

If $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow M$ ($M = S^d/\mathbb{Z}_m$) is a map induced by an equivariant map $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$, then we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(\bar{f}^k) \geq NF_k^G(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{r|k} (\#\mathcal{IR}(\bar{f}^r)) \cdot r$$

Lemma

If a self-map of the orbit space $\bar{X} = X/G$, of a free action of a finite group G , is induced by an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow X$ then the map $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{f}} : \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k)$ is the identity. Thus each orbit of Reidemeister classes consists of exactly one element.

Lemma

The Reidemeister relation of the map $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ induced by an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow X$ is trivial. Thus $\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}) = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} = \mathbb{Z}_m$.

THESE LEMMAS also "HOLD ALWAYS".

Corollary

If $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow M$ ($M = S^d/\mathbb{Z}_m$) is a map induced by an equivariant map $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$, then we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(\bar{f}^k) \geq NF_k^G(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{r|k} (\#\mathcal{IR}(\bar{f}^r)) \cdot r$$

Lemma

If a self-map of the orbit space $\bar{X} = X/G$, of a free action of a finite group G , is induced by an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow X$ then the map $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{f}} : \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k)$ is the identity. Thus each orbit of Reidemeister classes consists of exactly one element.

Lemma

The Reidemeister relation of the map $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ induced by an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow X$ is trivial. Thus $\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}) = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} = \mathbb{Z}_m$.

THESE LEMMAS also "HOLD ALWAYS".

Corollary

If $\bar{f} : M \rightarrow M$ ($M = S^d/\mathbb{Z}_m$) is a map induced by an equivariant map $f : S^d \rightarrow S^d$, then we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(\bar{f}^k) \geq NF_k^G(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{r|k} (\#\mathcal{IR}(\bar{f}^r)) \cdot r$$

IN CONSEQUENCE "ALWAYS" we have

Corollary

If $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ ($\bar{X} = X/\mathbb{Z}_m$) is a map induced by an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow X$, then we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(\bar{f}^k) \geq \sum_{r|k} \#\{\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^r) : g \in G : L(gf^r) \neq 0 \text{ and } [gf^r] \in \mathcal{IR}(f^r)\}.$$

Thus it remains to find the number of irreducible classes in $\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^r)$ for such r (or for every r). Let us recall that the class $A \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k)$ is reducible iff it belongs to the image of the map $i_{kl} : \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^l) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k)$ for an $l \mid k$, $l < k$.

IN CONSEQUENCE "ALWAYS" we have

Corollary

If $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ ($\bar{X} = X/\mathbb{Z}_m$) is a map induced by an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow X$, then we have

$$\#\text{Fix}(\bar{f}^k) \geq \sum_{r|k} \#\{\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^r) : g \in G : L(gf^r) \neq 0 \text{ and } [gf^r] \in \mathcal{IR}(f^r)\}.$$

Thus it remains to find the number of irreducible classes in $\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^r)$ for such r (or for every r). Let us recall that the class $A \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k)$ is reducible iff it belongs to the image of the map $i_{kl} : \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^l) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k)$ for an $l \mid k$, $l < k$.

Equivariant Nielsen theory for a free action on arbitrary M

Theorem ([JJWM2])

Let M be a finite polyhedron with a free action of a finite group G and $f : M \xrightarrow{G} M$ an equivariant map. Then $\exists$ an invariant $NF_n^G(f) \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ such that

- ① $NF_n^G(f)$ is a G -homotopy invariant.
- ② $\#\text{Fix}(g^k) \geq NF_n^G(f)$ for each $g \sim_G f$.

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^a}$ where p is a prime and let $n = p^\alpha$.

Theorem ([JJWM2])

If for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ all the Reidemeister classes of $[\bar{f}^k]$, where $\bar{f} = f/G$, are essential then

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^\alpha) \geq p^a \cdot p^\alpha = m \cdot n.$$

Equivariant Nielsen theory for a free action on arbitrary M

Theorem ([JJWM2])

Let M be a finite polyhedron with a free action of a finite group G and $f : M \xrightarrow{G} M$ an equivariant map. Then $\exists$ an invariant $NF_n^G(f) \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ such that

- ① $NF_n^G(f)$ is a G -homotopy invariant.
- ② $\#\text{Fix}(g^k) \geq NF_n^G(f)$ for each $g \sim_G f$.

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^a}$ where p is a prime and let $n = p^\alpha$.

Theorem ([JJWM2])

If for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ all the Reidemeister classes of $[\bar{f}^k]$, where $\bar{f} = f/G$, are essential then

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^\alpha) \geq p^a \cdot p^\alpha = m \cdot n.$$

Equivariant Nielsen theory for a free action on arbitrary M

Theorem ([JJWM2])

Let M be a finite polyhedron with a free action of a finite group G and $f : M \xrightarrow{G} M$ an equivariant map. Then $\exists$ an invariant

$$NF_n^G(f) \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N} \text{ such that}$$

- ① $NF_n^G(f)$ is a G -homotopy invariant.
- ② $\#\text{Fix}(g^k) \geq NF_n^G(f)$ for each $g \sim_G f$.

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^a}$ where p is a prime and let $n = p^\alpha$.

Theorem ([JJWM2])

If for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ all the Reidemeister classes of $[\bar{f}^k]$, where $\bar{f} = f/G$, are essential then

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^\alpha) \geq p^a \cdot p^\alpha = m \cdot n.$$

Equivariant Nielsen theory for a free action on arbitrary M

Theorem ([JJWM2])

Let M be a finite polyhedron with a free action of a finite group G and $f : M \xrightarrow{G} M$ an equivariant map. Then $\exists$ an invariant

$$NF_n^G(f) \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N} \text{ such that}$$

- ① $NF_n^G(f)$ is a G -homotopy invariant.
- ② $\#\text{Fix}(g^k) \geq NF_n^G(f)$ for each $g \sim_G f$.

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^a}$ where p is a prime and let $n = p^\alpha$.

Theorem ([JJWM2])

If for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ all the Reidemeister classes of $[\bar{f}^k]$, where $\bar{f} = f/G$, are essential then

$$\#\text{Fix}(f^\alpha) \geq p^a \cdot p^\alpha = m \cdot n.$$

Dependence of $k \mapsto N_k^G(f)$ on m

Definition 19

We say that a natural number r *eventually divides* m if r divides a power m^s . In other words r eventually divides m if and only if for a prime number p

$$p|r \Rightarrow p|m$$

We define k' as the greatest divisor of k dividing eventually m .

Theorem

Let G be a finite abelian group, $\#G = m$. Then

$$NF_k(f) = NF_{k'}(f)$$

This gives the statement of the second theorem of previous slide.

Dependence of $k \mapsto N_k^G(f)$ on m

Definition 19

We say that a natural number r *eventually divides* m if r divides a power m^s . In other words r eventually divides m if and only if for a prime number p

$$p|r \Rightarrow p|m$$

We define k' as the greatest divisor of k dividing eventually m .

Theorem

Let G be a finite abelian group, $\#G = m$. Then

$$NF_k(f) = NF_{k'}(f)$$

This gives the statement of the second theorem of previous slide.

Dependence of $k \mapsto N_k^G(f)$ on m

Definition 19

We say that a natural number r *eventually divides* m if r divides a power m^s . In other words r eventually divides m if and only if for a prime number p

$$p|r \Rightarrow p|m$$

We define k' as the greatest divisor of k dividing eventually m .

Theorem

Let G be a finite abelian group, $\#G = m$. Then

$$NF_k(f) = NF_{k'}(f)$$

This gives the statement of the second theorem of previous slide.

Algebraic computation of $NF_k(f)$

Assumption

Assume that every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ all Reidemeister classes of $\bar{f}^k$ are essential.

Theorem

Let $G = \pi_1 X = \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{a_1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_r^{a_r}}$, where $p_1, \dots, p_r$ denote different primes, be a cyclic group of order $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$. Then for k eventually dividing m

$$NF_k^G(f) = \begin{cases} km & \text{if } m|k \\ \gcd(m, k) \cdot m & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If k does not event. divides m then $NF_k^G(f) = 0$



Algebraic computation of $NF_k(f)$

Assumption

Assume that every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ all Reidemeister classes of $\bar{f}^k$ are essential.

Theorem

Let $G = \pi_1 X = \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{a_1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_r^{a_r}}$, where $p_1, \dots, p_r$ denote different primes, be a cyclic group of order $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$. Then for k eventually dividing m

$$NF_k^G(f) = \begin{cases} km & \text{if } m|k \\ \gcd(m, k) \cdot m & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If k does not event. divides m then $NF_k^G(f) = 0$



We recall that $\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $i_{p^\alpha, p^\beta}[x] = [p^{\alpha-\beta} \cdot x]$. This implies that:

- ① all classes in $\mathcal{OR}(\bar{f}^1) = \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^1) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ are irreducible while for $\alpha \geq 1$
- ② $[0] \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^{p^\alpha})$ is reducible and the remaining $p - 1$ classes in $\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^{p^\alpha})$ are irreducible.

Proposition

Under the above assumptions (and $\alpha \geq 1$)

$$NF_{p^\alpha}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(f) = p + \sum_{\beta} (p^{\beta+2} - p^{\beta+1})$$

where the summation runs over the set
 $\{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}; 0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha - 1, L(f^{p^\beta}) \neq 0\}$

We recall that $\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^k) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $i_{p^\alpha, p^\beta}[x] = [p^{\alpha-\beta} \cdot x]$. This implies that:

- ① all classes in $\mathcal{OR}(\bar{f}^1) = \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^1) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ are irreducible while for $\alpha \geq 1$
- ② $[0] \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^{p^\alpha})$ is reducible and the remaining $p - 1$ classes in $\mathcal{R}(\bar{f}^{p^\alpha})$ are irreducible.

Proposition

Under the above assumptions (and $\alpha \geq 1$)

$$NF_{p^\alpha}^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(f) = p + \sum_{\beta} (p^{\beta+2} - p^{\beta+1})$$

where the summation runs over the set
 $\{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}; 0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha - 1, L(f^{p^\beta}) \neq 0\}$

Theorem

Let X be a simply-connected finite polyhedron with a free action of a finite group G and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a G -equivariant map.

Assume that the action of G on $H_(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial.*

If $\exists$ a prime $p \mid \#G$ and $\exists a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$L(f^{p^a}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{a+1}}$$

then f has infinitely many periodic points and

$$\limsup \frac{\#\text{Fix}(f^n)}{n} \geq p.$$

Bibliography



J. Jezierski, W. Marzantowicz, A symmetry implies a chaos for a sphere map, Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society, (NS), **36**, (2005), no. 2, 205-224.



J. Jezierski, W. Marzantowicz, Periodic points of equivariant maps, to Math. Scand., volume **107**, issue 2, (2010), 224-248



L. Alsedà, S. Baldwin, J. Llibre, R. Swanson, W. Szlenk, Minimal sets of periods for torus maps via Nielsen numbers, *Pacific J. Math.*, **169** no. 1, (1995), 1-32.



L. Block, J. Guckenheimer, M. Misiurewicz, L. S. Young, Periodic points and topological entropy of one-dimensional maps, *Lectures Notes in Math.*, **819**, (1983), 18-24; Springer Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg-New York.



G. Bredon, Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1972.



A. Felshtyn, R. Hill, P. Wong, Reidemeister numbers of equivariant maps, *Topology Appl.*, **67**, (1995), no. 2, 119-131.



Ph. Heath, E. Keppelmann, Fibre techniques in Nielsen periodic point theory on nil and solvmanifolds I, *Topology Appl.*, **76**, (1997), 217-247.



J. Jezierski, The coincidence Nielsen number for maps into real protective spaces, *Fund. Math*, **140**, (1992), 121-136.



J. Jezierski, Wecken theorem for periodic points, *Topology*, **42**, vol. 5 (2003), 1101-1124.



J. Jezierski, W. Marzantowicz, Homotopy minimal periods for nilmanifolds maps, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **239**, (2002), 381-414.



J. Jezierski, E. Keppelmann, W. Marzantowicz, The sets of homotopy periods for maps of three dimensional solvmanifolds, *Topology Appl.*, **155**, (2008), 923-945.



B. Jiang, Lectures on Nielsen Fixed Point Theory, *Contemp. Math.*, **14**, Providence 1983.



B. Jiang, On the least number of fixed points, *Amer. J. Math.*, **102**, no. 4, (1979), 749-763.



B. Jiang, Estimation of the number of periodic orbits, *Pacific J. of Math.*, **172**, No. 1, (1996), 151-185.



B. Jiang and J. Llibre, Minimal sets of periods for torus maps, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, **4** No.2, (1993), 301-320.



C. K. McCord, Lefschetz and Nielsen coincidences numbers on nilmanifolds and solvmanifolds, *Topology Appl.*, **43**, (1992), 249-261.



R. L. Rubinsztein, On the equivariant homotopy of spheres, *Dissertationes Mathematicae*, (Rozprawy Matematyczne), **134**, (1976), 48 pp. .



A. N. Šarkovskii, Coexistence of cycles of a continuous map of the line into itself, *Ukrainian Math. Letters*, **16**, (1964), 61-71 (in Russian); English translation: *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos, Appl. Sci. Engrg.*, vol **5**, (1995), 1263-1273.



M. Shub, Dynamical systems, filtrations and entropy, *Bulletin AMS*, **80**, No. 1, (1974), 27- 41.



M. Shub and P. Sullivan, A remark on the Lefschetz fixed point formula for differentiable maps, *Topology*, **13**, (1974), 189-191.